

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,730	30 07/14/2003		Tadashi Matsumoto	051841-0107	3643	
22428	7590	12/20/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FOLEY AN	ND LAR	DNER	LE, T	LE, TAN		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20007			3632		
				DATE MAILED: 12/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/617,730	MATSUMOTO, TADASHI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tan Le	3632					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 S	eptember 2004.						
<u> </u>	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-7 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, , , , ,	• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	A\	(PTO 412)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/14/03 and 12/08/. 	4) lnterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/617,730 Page 2

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the first office action for serial number 10/617,730. This application contains 7 claims numbered 1-7.

- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of group I, claims 1-4 in the reply filed on 09/08/04 is acknowledged.
- 3. Claims 5-7 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. An examination as follows:
- 4. The IDSs filed 7/14/03 and 12/08/03 have been reviewed and considered.

Priority

5. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Objections

6. Claim 4 objected to because of the following informalities: on line 19, "wit" should be changed to – with --. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected because there are inconsistency between the language in the preamble and certain portions in the body of the claims, thereby making the scope of the claims unclear. Applicant is required to clarify what the claim is intended to be drawn to i.e, either the slide device alone or the combination of the slide device and the vehicle seat/vehicle-body floor, and the language of the claims be consistent with the intent. In formulating a rejection on the merits, the examiner is considering that the claims are drawn to the combination.

Claims 1 and 4, both recite the limitation "the one end" (in line 22). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the mounting seat" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 1-4 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Although the prior art disclose a variety of slide devices for a vehicle seat, it fails to disclose the slide device having a lock mechanism swingably supported to the bracket where a first spring protrusion formed with the bracket and catching one end of

the coiled spring; and a second spring protrusion formed with the lock piece of the lock mechanism and is located in the neighborhood of the first spring protrusion and catching another end of the coil spring provisionally.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

5,192,045 to Yamada et al.

4,813,643 to Nihei

5,358,207 to West

5,028,028 to Yamada et al.

5,285,993 to Kamata et al.

GB 2,317,558 to Sakai et al.

The above patents disclose various types of slidable locking devices for vehicle seats.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-8244. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Fri. from 9:00 AM-6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached on (703) 308-2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,730 Page 5

Art Unit: 3632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tan Le

Patent examiner December 11, 2004.